Case 3:07-cv-02694-JCS	Document 24	Filed 08/24/2007	Page 1 of 10
MICHAEL S. BURKE, #1500 VOGL & MEREDITH LLP 456 Montgomery Street, 20th San Francisco, California 941 Telephone: (415) 398-0200 Facsimile: (415) 398-2820			
mburke@voglmeredith.com Attorneys for Defendant NATIONAL AUTO RECOVI BUREAU, INC.	ERY		
Ţ	INITED STATES I	DISTRICT COURT	
NO	RTHERN DISTRI	CT OF CALIFORNIA	
JOSHUA JACOBSON and El	DEN )	No. C07-02694 JCS	S
Plaintif	fs, )	ANSWER TO FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT BY DEFENDANT NATIONAL AUTO RECOVERY BUREA INC.	
vs. AMERICREDIT, NATIONAL RECOVERY BUREAU, and	L AUTO ) TONY DOE. )		
Defend	)	Jury Demanded	
	)	Judge: Hon. Joseph	. C. Spero
Defendant NATIONA filed in this matter by Plaintiff  1. Answering Par U.S.C. §1692. Defendant lack allegations contained therein.	fs, as follows: agraph 1, Defendar	nt admits that the langu	age paraphrases 15
		nt admits that the lang	
California Civil Code §1788.		sufficient information of	or belief to admit or de
the remaining allegations con			
1			llector, and denies any
unlawful or abusive actions, a		information or belief to	o admit or deny the
remaining allegations contain			
Answer to First Amended Co	mplaint by		

- 4. Answering Paragraph 4, Defendant lacks sufficient information or belief to admit or deny the allegations contained therein.
  - 5. Answering Paragraph 5, Defendant denies the allegations contained therein.
  - 6. Answering Paragraph 6, Defendant denies the allegations contained therein.
- 7. Answering Paragraph 7, Defendant admits personal jurisdiction is proper in the State of California.
  - 8. Answering Paragraph 8, Defendant denies that venue is proper.
- 9. Answering Paragraph 9, Defendant admits that JOSHUA was obligated to pay a debt and that he was a "consumer" under 15 U.S.C. 1692a(3), but lacks sufficient information or belief to admit or deny the allegations contained therein.
- 10. Answering Paragraph 10, Defendant lacks sufficient information or belief to admit or deny the allegations contained therein.
- 11. Answering Paragraph 11, Defendant admits that JOSHUA is a natural person who had consumer debt which was due and owing and that he was a debtor pursuant to California Civil Code §1788.2(h). Defendant denies that it was a "debt collector" under 15 U.S.C. 1692a(5) & (6), and lacks sufficient information or belief to admit or deny the remaining allegations contained in paragraph 11.
  - 12. Answering Paragraph 12, Defendant admits the allegations contained therein.
  - 13. Answering Paragraph 13, Defendant denies the allegations contained therein.
  - 14. Answering Paragraph 14, Defendant denies the allegations contained therein.
- 15. Answering Paragraph 15, Defendant denies that it was a "person" or a "debt collector" under 15 U.S.C. 1692a(5) & (6), or that it used interstate commerce or the mails to collect "debt" as defined by 15 U.S.C. 1692a(5) in the subject transaction, but lacks sufficient information or belief to admit or deny the remaining allegations contained in paragraph 15.

26 ///

///

27

9 10

11 12

13

14

15 16

17

18 19

2021

22

2324

25

26

27

- 16. Answering Paragraph 16, Defendant admits that it is not an attorney or counselor at law and that it, in the ordinary course of business regularly on behalf of others engages in the repossession of property for creditors. Defendant denies that it is a "debt collector" as defined by Civil Code §1788.2(c) & (d). Defendant lacks sufficient information or belief to admit or deny the remaining allegations as to other defendants contained in paragraph 16.
- 17. Answering Paragraph 17, Defendant lacks sufficient information or belief to admit or deny the allegations contained therein.
- 18. Answering Paragraph 18, Defendant lacks sufficient information or belief to admit or deny the allegations contained therein.
- 19. Answering Paragraph 19, Defendant admits that it conducted business in California. Defendant lacks sufficient information or belief to admit or deny the remaining allegations as to other defendants contained in paragraph 19.
- 20. Answering Paragraph 20, Defendant lacks sufficient information or belief to admit or deny the allegations contained therein.
- 21. Answering Paragraph 21, Defendant lacks sufficient information or belief to admit or deny the allegations contained therein.
- 22. Answering Paragraph 22, Defendant lacks sufficient information or belief to admit or deny the allegations contained in therein.
- 23. Answering Paragraph 23, Defendant denies that the "debt" was assigned, placed or otherwise transferred to this answering Defendant. Defendant admits that it was retained to repossess the subject vehicle. Defendant lacks sufficient information or belief to admit or deny the remaining allegations contained in paragraph 23.
- 24. Answering Paragraph 24, Defendant lacks sufficient information or belief to admit or deny the allegations contained therein.
  - 25. Answering Paragraph 25, Defendant denies the allegations contained therein.
- 26. Answering Paragraph 26, Defendant lacks sufficient information or belief to admit or deny the allegations contained therein.

1

- 4 5
- 6 7
- 8 9
- 10
- 11 12
- 13
- 14
- 15
- 16
- 17
- 18
- 19 20
- 21
- 22
- 23
- 24 25
- 26

27 28 ///

- Answering Paragraph 27, Defendant denies that TONY had the authority to accept 27. any money or payment from JOSHUA, but lacks sufficient information or belief to admit or deny the remaining allegations contained therein.
- Answering Paragraph 28, Defendant denies that TONY had the authority to accept 28. any money or payment from JOSHUA, but lacks sufficient information or belief to admit or deny the remaining allegations contained therein.
- Answering Paragraph 29, Defendant denies that TONY had the authority to accept 29. any money or payment from JOSHUA or to indicate that the balance was "paid in full", but lacks sufficient information or belief to admit or deny the remaining allegations contained therein.
- Answering Paragraph 30, Defendant denies that TONY had the authority to accept 30. any money or payment from JOSHUA or to indicate that the balance was "paid in full", but lacks sufficient information or belief to admit or deny the remaining allegations contained therein.
- Answering Paragraph 31, defendant admits that TONY was not authorized to 31. accept money from JOSHUA, but lacks sufficient information or belief to admit or deny the remaining allegations contained therein.
- Answering Paragraph 32, Defendant lacks sufficient information or belief to admit 32. or deny the allegations contained therein.
- Answering Paragraph 33, Defendant denies the allegations contained therein 33. except those relating to TONY repossessing the subject vehicle.
- Answering Paragraph 34, Defendant lacks sufficient information or belief to admit 34. or deny the allegations contained therein.
- Answering Paragraph 35, Defendant lacks sufficient information or belief to admit 35. or deny the allegations contained therein.
- Answering Paragraph 36, Defendant lacks sufficient information or belief to admit 36. or deny the allegations contained therein.
  - Answering Paragraph 37, Defendant denies the allegations contained therein. 37.

10

11 12

13 14

15

16 17

18 19

2021

2223

2425

2627

- 51. Answering Paragraph 51, Defendant admits that TONY repossessed the subject vehicle, but lacks sufficient information or belief to admit or deny the remaining allegations contained therein.
- 52. Answering Paragraph 52, Defendant lacks sufficient information or belief to admit or deny the allegations contained therein.
- 53. Answering Paragraph 53, Defendant lacks sufficient information or belief to admit or deny the allegations contained therein.
- 54. Answering Paragraph 54, Defendant lacks sufficient information or belief to admit or deny the allegations contained therein.
- 55. Answering Paragraph 55, Defendant lacks sufficient information or belief to admit or deny the allegations contained therein.
  - 56. Answering Paragraph 56, Defendant denies the allegations contained therein.
  - 57. Answering Paragraph 57, Defendant denies the allegations contained therein.
  - 58. Answering Paragraph 58, Defendant denies the allegations contained therein.
- 59. Answering Paragraph 59, Defendant admits that TONY took possession of the subject vehicle but denies the remaining allegations contained therein.
  - 60. Answering Paragraph 60, Defendant denies the allegations contained therein.
  - 61. Answering Paragraph 61, Defendant denies the allegations contained therein.
- 62. Answering Paragraph 62, Defendant denies that all the allegations in the complaint attributed to TONY, if true, were within the course and scope of his employment. Defendant admits that TONY was employed by Defendant at the time he repossessed the subject vehicle, and that the act of repossession was within the course and scope of TONY'S employment with Defendant.
- 63. Answering Paragraph 63, Defendant incorporates by this reference its answers to paragraphs 1-62 above as though fully set forth here.
  - 64. Answering Paragraph 64, Defendant denies the allegations contained therein.
  - 65. Answering Paragraph 65, Defendant denies the allegations contained therein.

Answering Paragraph 83, Defendant denies the allegations contained therein.

27

28

83.

84. Answering Paragraph 84, Defendant denies the allegations contained therein.

///

# PLAINTIFFS' PRAYER FOR RELIEF

Answering plaintiffs' Prayer for Relief, Defendant denies that plaintiffs, or any of them, are entitled to any relief against this answering Defendant, including the relief requested in the complaint. All allegations of the complaint not specifically admitted in this answer are hereby denied.

## **AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES**

#### FIRST AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

1. As a separate answer and affirmative defense, Defendant alleges: the complaint fails, in whole or in part, to state a claim upon which relief may be granted.

### SECOND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

2. As a separate answer and affirmative defense, Defendant alleges: That Defendant is not a "debt collector" under 15 U.S.C. 1692a or Civil Code §1788.2. Instead, Defendant is a repossessor.

#### THIRD AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

3. As a separate answer and affirmative defense, Defendant alleges: Defendant denies that Defendant was negligent in any fashion with respect to the damages, losses, injuries and debts claimed by the Plaintiffs in the Complaint on file herein; however, if this answering Defendant is found to be negligent (which supposition is denied and merely stated for the purpose of this affirmative defense), then this answering Defendant provisionally alleges that Defendant's negligence is not the sole and proximate cause of the resultant damages, losses and injuries alleged by plaintiffs, and that the damages awarded to plaintiffs, if any, should be apportioned according to the respective fault of the parties, persons, and entities, or their agents, servants, and employees who contributed to and/or caused said resultant damages as alleged, according to the proof presented at the time of trial.

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

#### FOURTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

4. As a separate answer and affirmative defense, Defendant alleges: That while continuing to deny the allegations of the Complaint on file herein, that if Defendant is held liable for the damages, if any, awarded to Plaintiffs, Plaintiffs are barred or estopped from any recovery from Defendant based upon Plaintiffs' own fault, either active, primary, direct, or otherwise.

#### FIFTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

5. As a separate answer and affirmative defense, Defendant alleges: That Plaintiffs' conduct rather than conduct of this Defendant proximately caused the losses alleged as Plaintiffs failed to exercise reasonable care and diligence to avoid loss and avoid damages incurred, if any, as a result of the events alleged in Plaintiffs' Complaint.

#### SIXTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

6. As a separate answer and affirmative defense, defendant alleges: That Defendant is not a "debt collector" under 15 U.S.C. 1692a or Civil Code §1788.2. Instead, Defendant is a repossessor. As a result, this court lacks subject matter jurisdiction.

#### SEVENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

7. As a separate answer and affirmative defense, defendant alleges: That liability for the amount of non-economic damages be allocated to this answering defendant in direct proportion to the applicable percentage of fault, if any, according to the Fair Responsibility Act of 1986 and Civil Code Section 1431, et seq.

#### EIGHTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

8. As a separate answer and affirmative defense, Defendant alleges: That the alleged violation(s) were not intentional and resulted notwithstanding the maintenance of procedures reasonably adapted to avoid any such violation.

#### NINTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

9. As a separate answer and affirmative defense, Defendant alleges: Defendant as a repossession agency is not liable for the act or omission of a legal owner, debtor, lienholder, lessor or lessee, or an agent of any of them, in making an assignment to it or for accepting an

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27